| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | <b>Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure</b> |
| 4  | Act of 2002   |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | Model Guidelines  |
| 7  |   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 | REVISED   |
| 24 | September <u>2006</u> <u>2007</u>                             |
| 25 | Changes from 8/15 meeting shown in grey                       |

| 1 2      | P                      | ublic-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002  Model Guidelines |
|----------|------------------------|---|
| 3        |                        | 1/10/00/2 0 01/10/2008  |
| 4        |                        | <u>Table of Contents</u>  |
| 5<br>6   | <del>I.</del> Introduc | tion p. 4   |
| 7        | 0                      |   |
| 8        | Ove                    | <u>p.</u>   |
| 10       | Gui                    | delines for the review and approval of proposals and projectsp                      |
| 11<br>12 | Star                   | tement of Purpose   |
| 13       |                        |   |
| 14       |                        | neral Provisions  |
| 15       | A.                     | Proposal Submission. p. 2   |
| 16       | В.                     | Affected Local Jurisdictions  |
| 17       | C.                     | Proposal Review Feep. 4   |
| 18       | D.                     | Freedom of Information Actp. 4  |
| 19       | E.                     | Use of Public fundsp. 6   |
| 20       | F.                     | Applicability of Other Lawsp. 6   |
| 21       |                        |   |
| 22       | HI.II. Sol             | icited Proposalsp. 7  |
| 23       |                        |   |
| 24       | <u>IV.III.</u> Uns     | solicited Proposalsp. 7   |
| 25       | A.                     | Decision to Accept and Consider Unsolicited Proposal; Noticep. 7                    |
| 26       | В.                     | Posting Requirementsp. 8  |
| 27       | C.                     | Initial Review by the Responsible Public Entity                                     |
| 28       |                        |   |
| 29       | <del>V.IV.</del> Pro   | posal Preparation and Submissionp. <del>10</del>                                    |
| 30       | A.                     | Format for Submissions at the Conceptual Stage                                      |
| 31       | В.                     | Format for Submissions at the Detailed Stage  |
| 32       | ,                      | F   |
| 33       | <del>VI.</del> V. Pro  | posal Evaluation and Selection Criteriap. 14  |
| 34       | A.                     | Qualifications and Experience   |
| 35       | В.                     | Project Characteristics   |

| 1  |      | C.        | Project Financing                                       | p. <del>15</del> |
|----|------|-----------|---|------------------|
| 2  |      | D.        | Public Benefit and Compatibility                        | p. <del>16</del> |
| 3  |      | E.        | Other Factors   | p. <del>16</del> |
| 4  |      |           |   |                  |
| 5  | VI.  | Add       | litional Review Procedures                              | p.               |
| 6  |      | <u>A.</u> | Public Private Partnership Oversight Advisory Committee | p.               |
| 7  |      | <u>B.</u> | Appropriating Body                                      | p.               |
| 8  |      |           |   |                  |
| 9  | VII. | Inte      | erim and Comprehensive Agreements                       | p. <del>17</del> |
| 10 |      | A.        | Interim Agreement Terms                                 | p. <del>17</del> |
| 11 |      | B.        | Comprehensive Agreement Terms                           | p. <del>17</del> |
| 12 |      | C.        | Notice and Posting Requirements                         | p. <del>19</del> |
| 13 |      |           |   |                  |
| 14 | VIII | Gov       | verning Provisions                                      | p. <del>20</del> |
| 15 |      |           |   |                  |
| 16 |      | Tern      | ns and Definitions                                      | <u>p.</u>        |
| 17 |      |           |   |                  |

| 1  |                  |
|----|------------------|
| 2  | Introducti       |
| 3  |                  |
| 4  | <u>Overvi</u>    |
| 5  |                  |
| 6  | The Pu           |
| 7  | "PPEA") gran     |
| 8  | partnerships for |
| 9  | entities determ  |
| 10 | provide the pro  |
| 11 | "responsible pu  |
| 12 | develop or ope   |
| 13 | comprehensive    |
| 14 | RPE will define  |
| 15 | and the private  |
| 16 |                  |
| 17 | In order         |
| 18 | "qualifying pro  |
| 19 | includes public  |
| 20 |                  |
| 21 | (i)              |
| 22 |                  |

23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35 36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

ion

**ew** 

iblic-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002 (the its responsible public entities the authority to create public-private r the development of a wide range of projects for public use if the public nine there is a need for the project and that private involvement may pject to the public in a timely or cost-effective fashion. The PPEA defines ublic entity" (RPE) to include any public entity that "has the power to rate the applicable qualifying project." Individually negotiated interim or agreements between a private entity and a responsible public entity an e the respective rights and obligations of the responsible public entity RPE entity.

r for a project to come under the PPEA, it must meet the definition of a pject." The PPEA contains a broad definition of qualifying project that buildings and facilities of all types; for example:

- An education facility, including but not limited to a school building (including any stadium or other facility primarily used for school events), any functionally related and subordinate facility and land to a school building and any depreciable property provided for use in a school facility that is operated as part of the public school system or as an institution of higher education;
- (ii) A building or facility that meets a public purpose and is developed or operated by or for any public entity;
- (iii) Improvements, together with equipment, necessary to enhance public safety and security of buildings to be principally used by a public entity;
- (iv) Utility and telecommunications and other communications infrastructure;
- (v) A recreational facility:
- Technology infrastructure and services<sup>1</sup>, including but not limited to (vi) telecommunications, automated data processing, word processing and management information systems, and related information, equipment, goods and services; or
- Technology, equipment, or infrastructure designed to deploy wireless (vii) broadband services to schools, businesses, or residential areas, <sup>2</sup> or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Senate Bill 756 (2007), p. 3, line 135. <sup>2</sup> House Bill 2381 (2007), p. 1, line 45.

(vii)(ix) any improvements necessary or desirable to any unimproved locally- or state-owned real estate.

1 2

The PPEA establishes requirements that the responsible public entityRPE must adhere to when reviewing and approving proposals received pursuant to the PPEA. In addition, the PPEA specifies the criteria that must be used to select a proposal and the contents of the interim or comprehensive agreement detailing the relationship between the responsible public entityRPE and the private entity.

In passing the legislation, and following subsequent amendments to the Act in 2005 and 2006 and 2007, the General Assembly directed the Governor and the chairs of the House and Senate Committees on General Laws to facilitate the development of model guidelines to assist in the implementation of the PPEA. The respective governing body of the public entity must first adopt guidelines that it will follow to receive and evaluate any proposal submitted to the public entity under the provisions of the PPEA. Such guidelines shall be made publicly available. The guidelines adopted by the public entity should designate an individual to serve as the point of contact to receive proposals submitted under the PPEA and to respond to inquiries regarding the PPEA or the guidelines. The guidelines may also contain provisions for an accelerated documentation, review, and selection process for proposals involving a qualifying project that the responsible public entity deems a priority.

Because the PPEA is intended to encourage innovative partnerships between responsible public entities RPE's and private entities, public entities RPE's are encouraged to maintain an open dialogue with private entities to discuss the need for infrastructure improvements.

#### **Guidelines for the review and approval of proposals and projects**

Responsible public entities are required to adopt and make publicly available guidelines that are sufficient to enable the public entity to comply with the requirements of the PPEA. The guidelines should be reasonable and structured to encourage competition. In addition, to facilitate communication, an RPE should designate an individual to serve as the point of contact for receiving proposals submitted under the PPEA and responding to inquiries regarding the PPEA or the guidelines.

Guidelines adopted by all RPE's are required to include provisions that require the posting and publishing of public notice of a private entity's request for approval a qualifying project, including (i) specific information and documentation to be released regarding the nature, timing, and scope of the qualifying project pursuant to subsection A of § 56-575.4; (ii) a reasonable time period of at least 45 days during which the RPE shall receive competing proposals pursuant to Section 56-575.4 A. Such time period shall also be as determined by the RPE to encourage competition and public-private partnerships in accordance with the goals of the PPEA; and (iii) a requirement for advertising the public

| 1                               | notice in the Virginia Business Opportunities publication and posting a notice on the  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 2                               | Commonwealth's electronic procurement website  |
| 3                               |  |
| 4                               | RPE's that are agencies or institutions of the Commonwealth are required to  |
| 5                               | include the following provisions in their guidelines:  |
| 6                               |  |
| 7<br>8                          | 1. Opportunities for competition through public notice and availability of representatives of the RPE to meet with private entities considering a proposal;          |
| 9                               | of the KIE to meet with private entities considering a proposar,   |
| 10                              | 2. Reasonable criteria for choosing among competing proposals;   |
| 11                              |  |
| 12                              | 3. Suggested timelines for selecting proposals and negotiating an interim or   |
| 13                              | comprehensive agreement:   |
| 14                              | 4 A. 41 - 11 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14   |
| 15<br>16                        | 4. Authorization for accelerated selection and review and documentation timelines for proposals involving a qualifying project that the RPE deems a priority;        |
| 17                              | proposals involving a quantying project that the Ri E deems a priority,  |
| 18                              | 5. Financial review and analysis procedures that shall include, at a minimum, a cost-  |
| 19                              | benefit analysis, an assessment of opportunity cost, and consideration of the results of all   |
| 20                              | studies and analyses related to the proposed qualifying project. These procedures shall  |
| 21                              | also include requirements for the disclosure of such analysis to the appropriating body for  |
| 22                              | review prior to execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement;  |
| <ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul> | 6. Consideration of the nonfinancial benefits of a proposed qualifying project;  |
| 25                              | o. Consideration of the nonlinaneial benefits of a proposed quantying project,   |
| 26                              | 7. A mechanism for the appropriating body to review a proposed interim or  |
| 27                              | comprehensive agreement prior to execution;  |
| 28                              |  |
| 29                              | 8. Criteria for triggering the establishment of an advisory committee consisting of  |
| 30<br>31                        | representatives of the RPE and the appropriating body to review the terms of the proposed interim or comprehensive agreement. Suggested criteria includes the scope, |
| 32                              | costs, and duration of the qualifying project, as well as whether the project involves or  |
| 33                              | impacts multiple RPE's;  |
| 34                              |  |
| 35                              | 9. Analysis of the adequacy of the information released when seeking competing   |
| 36                              | proposals and providing for the enhancement of that information, if deemed necessary, to   |
| 37                              | encourage competition; and   |
| 38<br>39                        | 10. Establishment of criteria, key decision points, and approvals required to ensure that  |
| 40                              | the RPE considers the extent of competition before selecting proposals and negotiating an  |
| 41                              | interim or comprehensive agreement.  |
| 42                              |  |
| 43                              | Guidelines of local RPE's must include a requirement that such RPE's engage the  |
| 44                              | services of qualified professionals, which may include an architect, professional engineer,  |
| 45                              | or certified public accountant, not otherwise employed by the public entity, to provide  |
| 46                              | independent analysis regarding the specifics, advantages, disadvantages, and the long-   |

and short-term costs of any request by a private entity for approval of a qualifying project unless the governing body of the RPE determines that such analysis of a request by a private entity for approval of a qualifying project shall be performed by employees of the RPE.<sup>3</sup>

#### **Statement of Purpose**

The following model guidelines have been developed to assist public entities RPE's<sup>4</sup> in adopting guidelines to guide the implementation of the PPEA. The guidelines are intended to serve as a general guide for the implementation of the PPEA. Each public body-An RPE is not required to adopt the entire text of the model guidelines. Each RPE has the flexibility to add or delete provisions included in the model guidelines and to include provisions not contained in the model guidelines so long as the provisions resulting guidelines comply with the PPEA. The complete text of the PPEA has been included in the Appendix to these model guidelines. Although guidance with regard to the application of the PPEA is provided herein, it will be incumbent upon all entities, both public and private, to comply with the provisions of the PPEA.

In the event that the PPEA is amended in a manner that either conflicts with guidelines developed by a responsible public entity RPE or concerns material matters not addressed by such guidelines, the responsible public entity RPE should appropriately amend the guidelines. If the guidelines are not amended prior to the effective date of the new law, the guidelines nonetheless shall be interpreted in a manner to conform to the new law.

#### **HI.** General Provisions

## A. Proposal Submission

A proposal may be either solicited by a public entity\_RPE\_or delivered by a private entity on an unsolicited basis. Proposers may be required to follow a two-part proposal submission process consisting of an initial conceptual phase and a detailed phase. The initial phase of the proposal should contain specified information on proposer qualifications and experience, project characteristics, project financing, anticipated public support or opposition, or both, and project benefit and compatibility. The detailed proposal should contain specified deliverables.

The PPEA allows private entities to include innovative financing methods, including the imposition of user fees or service payments, in a proposal. Such financing arrangements may include the issuance of debt instruments, equity or other securities or obligations, including, if applicable, the portion of the tax-exempt private activity bond limitation amount to be allocated annually to the Commonwealth of Virginia pursuant to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SB 756 (2007), p. 3, line 155 through p. 4, line 213; Work Group revised listing of provisions to track statute, 8/15/07; City of Roanoke (Roanoke) and Thomas R. Folk (Folk).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Use term "responsible public entity" or "RPE" will be used consistently throughout guidelines; Work Group, 8/15/07; Roanoke.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Work Group, 8/15/07, to clarify that RPE's have flexibility not to include provisions of the model guidelines that are not specifically based on requirements found in the PPEA

the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 for the development of education facilities using public-private partnerships, and to provide for carryovers of any unused limitation amount. The PPEA is a flexible development tool that allows the use of innovative financing techniques. Depending on the public entity's RPE's authority and the circumstances of each transaction, financing options might include the use of special purpose entities, sale and lease back transactions, enhanced use leasing, property exchanges, development agreements, conduit financing and other methods allowed by law.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a concise description of the proposer's capabilities to complete the proposed qualifying project and the benefits to be derived from the project by the public entity RPE. Project benefits to be considered are those occurring during the construction, renovation, expansion or improvement phase and during the life cycle of the project. Proposals also should include a scope of work and a financial plan for the project, containing enough detail to allow an analysis by the public entity RPE of the financial feasibility of the proposed project. The public entity may establish criteria by which the proposer may provide clarification to the submission. The cost analysis of a proposal should not be linked solely to the financing plan, as the responsible public entity RPE may determine to finance the project through other available means.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

The RPE should establish clearly delineated criteria for (i) selecting among competing proposals<sup>6</sup> and (ii) the use of accelerated documentation, review, and selection process for proposals involving a qualifying project that the RPE deems a priority. In addition, to facilitate the flow of critical information, the RPE may establish criteria by which the proposer may provide clarification to a submitted proposal.

27 28

#### **B.** Affected **Local** Jurisdictions

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

Any private entity requesting approval from or submitting a conceptual or detailed proposal to a responsible public entityRPE must provide each affected local jurisdiction with a copy of the private entity's request or proposal by certified mail, express delivery or hand delivery. Affected local-jurisdictions that are not responsible public entities RPE's under the proposed qualifying project shall have 60 days from the receipt of the request or proposal to submit written comments to the responsible public entityRPE and to indicate whether the proposed qualifying project is compatible with the (i) local comprehensive plan, (ii) local infrastructure development plans, or (iii) capital improvements budget or other government spending plan. Comments received within the 60-day period shall be given consideration by the responsible public entity RPE, and no negative inference shall be drawn from the absence of comment by an affected local jurisdiction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SB 756, p. 3, line 166. <sup>7</sup> SB 756, p, 3, line 169.

#### C. Proposal Review Fee

A public entity RPE shall receive an analysis of the proposal from appropriate internal staff or outside advisors or consultants with relevant experience in determining whether to enter into an agreement with the private entity. No fee may be charged by a public entity to process, review or evaluate any solicited proposal submitted under the PPEA. The public entity RPE may charge a fee to the private entity to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating any unsolicited proposal or competing unsolicited proposal submitted under the PPEA, including a fee to cover the costs of outside attorneys, consultants, and financial advisors. Any fee charged for such review of a proposal should be reasonable in comparison to the level of expertise required to review the proposal and should not be greater than the direct costs associated with evaluating the proposed qualifying project. "Direct costs" may include (i) the cost of staff time required to process, evaluate, review and respond to the proposal and (ii) the out-of-pocket costs of attorneys, consultants and financial advisors.

 The proposal fee may cover all or part of the initial review process. For example, a public entity—RPE may require a proposal fee in an amount sufficient to cover all anticipated direct costs associated with evaluating the proposal, or a public entity—RPE may require a smaller initial processing fee with an additional proposal fee to be charged should the project proceed beyond the initial review.

The public entity RPE may establish a fee schedule for the cost of the proposal review. The public entity RPE shall set forth in the procedures it has established for the implementation of the PPEA the methodology used to calculate proposal fees. If the cost of reviewing the proposal exceeds the initially established proposal fee, the public entity RPE may assess the proposer the additional costs deemed necessary to evaluate the proposal.

For rejected proposals, the <u>public entity\_RPE</u> may establish a schedule for refunding any portion of fees paid in excess of its direct costs associated with evaluating the proposal. If the cost of reviewing the proposal is less than the initially established proposal fee, the <u>public entity\_RPE</u> may refund to the proposer the excess fee. As noted in section IV.A. 1 below, fees should be refunded entirely if the <u>public entity\_RPE</u> decides not to proceed to publication and conceptual-phase review of an unsolicited proposal.

#### D. Freedom of Information Act

1. General applicability of disclosure provisions.

Proposal documents submitted by private entities are generally subject to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") except that § 2.2-3705.6 (11) exempts certain documents from public disclosure. FOIA exemptions, however, are discretionary,

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Removed by Work Group, 8/15/07, as not being authorized by law; Folk.

and a responsible public body an RPE may elect to release some or all of documents except to the extent the documents are:

a. Trade secrets of the private entity as defined in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (§ 59.1-336 et seq.);

b. Financial records of the private entity that are not generally available to the public through regulatory disclosure or otherwise, including but not limited to, balance sheets and financial statements; or

c. Other information submitted by a private entity, where if the record or document were made public prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement the financial interest or bargaining position of the public or private entity would be adversely affected.

Additionally, to the extent access to proposal documents submitted by private entities are compelled or protected from disclosure by a court order, the RPE must comply with the provisions of such order.

An RPE may contact the Freedom of Information Act Council (FOIAC) regarding the applicability of the access provisions of FOIA: 9

General Assembly Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

910 Capitol Street Richmond, VA 23219
E-mail: foiacouncil@leg.state.va.us

Telephone: 804/225-3056
Toll-Free: 1-866-448-4100
Fax: 804/371-8705

2. Protection from mandatory disclosure for certain documents submitted by a private entity.

Before a document of a private entity may be withheld from disclosure, the private entity must make a written request to the responsible public entity RPE at the time the documents are submitted earmarking designating with specificity the documents for which the protection is being sought and a clear statement of the reasons for invoking the protection with reference to one or more of three classes of records listed in Section I.D.1

Upon the receipt of a written request for protection of documents, the responsible public entity RPE shall determine whether the documents contain (i) trade secrets, (ii) financial records, or (iii) other information that would adversely affect the financial interest or bargaining position of the responsible public entity RPE or private entity in accordance with Section I.D.1 D.1. The responsible public entity RPE shall make a

<sup>9</sup> Rewritten at request of Work Group, 8/15/07; Roanoke.

<sup>10 &</sup>quot;Earmarking" denotes the process of identifying trade secrets and other proprietary records for which protection is sought. Term removed and replaced with "designate" by Work Group, 8/15/07; Folk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Citation corrected by Work Group, 8/15/07; Roanoke

written determination of the nature and scope of the protection to be afforded by the responsible public entity RPE under this subdivision. If the written determination provides less protection than requested by the private entity, the private entity should be accorded an opportunity to withdraw its proposal. Nothing shall prohibit further negotiations of the documents to be accorded protection from release although what may be protected must be limited to the categories of records identified in Section I.D.1 D.1.

Once a written determination has been made by the responsible public entity RPE, the documents afforded protection under this subdivision shall continue to be protected from disclosure when in the possession of the responsible public entity RPE or any affected local jurisdiction to which such documents are provided.

Cost estimates relating to a proposed procurement transaction prepared by or for a responsible public entity shall not be open to public inspection.

3. Protection from mandatory disclosure for certain documents produced by the responsible public entity.

Memoranda, staff evaluations, or other records prepared by or for the responsible public entity for the evaluation and negotiation of proposals may be withheld from disclosure if the disclosure of such records required by the PPEA would adversely affect the financial interest or bargaining position of the responsible public entity or private entity and the basis for the determination of adverse affect is documented in writing by the responsible public entity

Cost estimates relating to a proposed procurement transaction prepared by or for a responsible public entity shall not be open to public inspection.

4. If a private entity fails to earmark designate trade secrets, financial records, or other confidential or proprietary information, records or documents for protection from disclosure, such information, records or documents shall be subject to disclosure under FOIA.

3. Protection from mandatory disclosure for certain documents produced by the RPE.

A RPE may withhold from disclosure memoranda, staff evaluations, or other records prepared by the RPE, its staff, outside advisors, or consultants exclusively for the evaluation and negotiation of proposals where (i) if such records were made public prior to or after the execution of an interim or a comprehensive agreement, the financial interest or bargaining position of the RPE would be adversely affected, and (ii) the basis for the determination required in clause (i) is documented in writing by the RPE. 12

Cost estimates relating to a proposed procurement transaction prepared by or for a public entity RPE shall not be open to public inspection.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Senate Bill 1002, p. 1, line 56.

| 1 |  |
|---|--|
| 2 | 5. 4. A responsible public entity RPE may not withhold from public access:       |
| 3 |  |
| 4 | (a) procurement records other than those subject to the written determination of |
| 5 | the <del>responsible public entity</del> RPE:                                    |

(b) information concerning the terms and conditions of any interim or comprehensive agreement, service contract, lease, partnership, or any agreement of any kind entered into by the responsible public entity RPE and the private entity;

(c) information concerning the terms and conditions of any financing arrangement that involves the use of any public funds; or

(d) information concerning the performance of any private entity developing or operating a qualifying transportation facility or a qualifying project.

However, to the extent that access to any procurement record or other document or information is compelled or protected by a court order, then the RPE must comply with such order.<sup>13</sup>

#### E. Use of Public Funds

Virginia constitutional and statutory requirements as they apply to appropriation and expenditure of public funds apply to any interim or comprehensive agreement entered into under the PPEA. Accordingly, the processes and procedural requirements associated with the expenditure or obligation of public funds shall be incorporated into planning for any PPEA project or projects.

#### F. Applicability of Other Laws

Nothing in the PPEA shall affect the duty of a responsible public entity RPE to comply with all other applicable law not in conflict with the PPEA. The applicability of the Virginia Public Procurement Act (the "VPPA") is as set forth in the PPEA.

#### **III II.** Solicited Proposals

A public entity RPE may issue Requests for Proposals (RFPs) or Invitations for Bids (IFBs)<sup>14</sup>, inviting proposals from private entities to develop or operate qualifying projects. A public entity An RPE may not issue a RFP until it has adopted guidelines to govern the PPEA documentation, review, and selection process. The public entity RPE may use a two-part proposal process consisting of an initial conceptual phase and a detailed phase. An RFP may invite proposers to submit proposals on individual projects identified by the public entity RPE. In such a case the responsible public entity RPE should set forth in the RFP the format and supporting information that is required to be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Added by Work Group, 8/15/07, to clarify effect of court orders; Roanoke.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Added by Work Group, 8/15/07; Roanoke.

submitted, consistent with the provisions of the PPEA. <u>The RPE may establish suggested timelines for selecting proposals for the review and selection of solicited proposals.</u> 15

The RFP should specify, but not necessarily be limited to, information and documents that must accompany each proposal and the factors that will be used in evaluating the submitted proposals. The RFP should be posted in such public areas as are normally used for posting of the <a href="mailto:public entityRPE">public entityRPE</a>'s notices, including the <a href="public entityRPE">public entityRPE</a>'s website. Notices should also be published in a newspaper or other publications of general circulation and advertised in *Virginia Business Opportunities* and posted on the Commonwealth's electronic procurement site. In addition, solicited proposals should be posted pursuant to Section—IV.B\_III.B.

The RFP should also contain or incorporate by reference other applicable terms and conditions, including any unique capabilities or qualifications that will be required of the private entities submitting proposals. Pre-proposal conferences may be held as deemed appropriate by the <a href="mailto:public entityRPE">public entityRPE</a>.

## **WIII.** Unsolicited Proposals

The PPEA permits public entities to receive, evaluate and select for negotiations unsolicited proposals from private entities to develop or operate a qualifying project.

A responsible public entity RPE may publicize its needs and may encourage interested parties to submit unsolicited proposals subject to the terms and conditions of the PPEA. When such proposals are received without issuance of an RFP, the proposal shall be treated as an unsolicited proposal. The RPE may establish suggested timelines for selecting proposals for the review and selection of unsolicited proposals. 17

#### A. Decision to Accept and Consider Unsolicited Proposal; Notice

1. Upon receipt of any unsolicited proposal or group of proposals and payment of any required fee by the proposer or proposers, the responsible public entity RPE should determine whether to accept the unsolicited proposal for the purpose of 18 publication and conceptual-phase consideration. If the public entity RPE determines not to accept the proposal and proceed to publication and conceptual-phase consideration, it should return the proposal, together with all fees and accompanying documentation, to the proposer.

 2. If the responsible public entity RPE chooses to accept an unsolicited proposal for publication and conceptual-phase consideration, it shall post a notice in a public area regularly used by the public entity RPE for posting of public notices for a period of not less than 45 days. The responsible public entity RPE shall also publish the same notice for a period of not less than 45 days in one or more newspapers or periodicals of general circulation in the jurisdiction to notify any parties that may be interested in submitting

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> SB 756, p. 3, line 167.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Citation corrected by Work Group, 8/15/07.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> SB 756, p. 3, line 167.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Added by Work Group, 8/15/07, to clarify what acceptance at this stage means; Roanoke.

competing unsolicited proposals. In addition, the notice should be advertised in *Virginia Business Opportunities* and on the Commonwealth's electronic procurement website. The notice shall state that the public entityRPE (i) has received and accepted an unsolicited proposal under the PPEA, (ii) intends to evaluate the proposal, (iii) may negotiate an interim or comprehensive agreement with the proposer based on the proposal, and (iv) will accept—receive for simultaneous consideration any competing proposals that comply with the procedures adopted by the public entityRPE and the PPEA. The notice also shall summarize the proposed qualifying project or projects, and identify their proposed locations.

To ensure that sufficient information is available upon which to base the development of a serious competing proposal, <sup>19</sup> representatives of the RPE familiar with the unsolicited proposal and the guidelines established by the RPE shall be made available to respond to inquiries and meet with private entities that are considering the submission of a competing proposal. <sup>20</sup> The RPE shall conduct an analysis of the information pertaining to the proposal included in the notice to ensure that such information sufficiently encourages competing proposals. <sup>21</sup> Further, the RPE shall establish criteria, including key decision points and approvals to ensure proper consideration of the extent of competition from available private entities prior to selection. <sup>22</sup>

#### **B.** Posting Requirements

1. Conceptual proposals, whether solicited or unsolicited, shall be posted by the responsible public entity RPE within 10 working days after acceptance of such proposals in the following manner:

a. For responsible public entities RPE's that are state agencies, departments, and institutions, posting shall be on the Department of General Service's web-based electronic procurement program commonly known as "eVA;" and

b. For responsible public entities RPE's that are local public bodies, posting shall be on the responsible public entity RPE's website or by publication, in a newspaper of general circulation in the area in which the contract is to be performed, of a summary of the proposals and the location where copies of the proposals are available for public inspection. Posting may also be on the Department of General Service's web-based electronic procurement program commonly known as "eVA," in the discretion of the local responsible public entity RPE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Series of revisions made by Work Group, 8/15/07, to clarify the purpose of meeting with private entities at this stage; Folk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> SB 756, p. 3, line 164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> SB <u>756, p. 4, line 186.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> SB 756, p. 4, line 189.

| 1<br>2  <br>3<br>4              | by additional   | thing shall be construed to prohibit the posting of the conceptual proposals means deemed appropriate by the responsible public entity RPE so as to mum notice to the public of the opportunity to inspect the proposals. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| 5<br>6                          | be made avai    | addition to the posting requirements, at least one copy of the proposals shall lable for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records  |
| 7                               | -               | entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of §   |
| 8                               |                 | hall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the   |
| 9                               |                 | public entity RPE and the private entity. Any inspection of procurement   |
| 10                              |                 | ecords shall be subject to reasonable restrictions to ensure the security and   |
| 11<br>12                        | integrity of th | le records.   |
| 13                              | C. In           | itial Review by the Responsible Public Entity at the Conceptual Stage   |
| 14                              |                 |   |
| 15                              |                 | ly proposals complying with the requirements of the PPEA that contain   |
| 16                              |                 | ormation for a meaningful evaluation and that are provided in an appropriate  |
| 17                              |                 | l be considered by the responsible public entity RPE for further review at the  |
| 18                              | •               | age. Formatting suggestions for proposals at the conceptual stage are found   |
| 19                              | at Section V    | A <u>I. V. A</u> .  |
| 20                              | 2               |   |
| 21                              |                 | he responsible public entity RPE should determine at this initial stage of  |
| 22                              | review wheth    | er it will proceed using:   |
| 23                              | 0               | Standard programant procedures consistent with the VDDA, or   |
| <ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul> | a.              | Standard procurement procedures consistent with the VPPA; or  |
| 26                              | b.              | Guidelines developed by the responsible public entityRPE that are   |
| 27                              | 0.              | consistent with procurement of other than professional services through   |
| 28                              |                 | "competitive negotiation" as the term is defined in § 2.2-4301 of the Code  |
| 29                              |                 | of Virginia. The responsible public entity RPE may proceed using such   |
| 30                              |                 | guidelines only if it makes a written determination that doing so is likely   |
| 31                              |                 | to be advantageous to the responsible public entityRPE and the public   |
| 32                              |                 | based upon either (i) the probable scope, complexity or priority of need;   |
| 33                              |                 | (ii) the risk sharing including guaranteed cost or completion guarantees,   |
| 34                              |                 | added value or debt or equity investments proposed by the private entity;   |
| 35                              |                 | or (iii) increase in funding, dedicated revenue or other economic benefit   |
| 36                              |                 | that would otherwise not be available.  |
| 37                              |                 |   |
| 38                              |                 | ter reviewing the original proposal and any competing proposals submitted   |
| 39                              | during the no   | tice period, the responsible public entity RPE may determine:   |
| 40                              |                 |   |
| 41                              | (i)             | not to proceed further with any proposal,   |
| 42                              | <b></b>         |   |
| 43                              | (ii)            | to proceed to the detailed phase of review with the original proposal,  |
| 44                              | /···\           |   |
| 45                              | (iii)           | to proceed to the detailed phase with a competing proposal, or  |

<sup>23</sup> Citation corrected by Work Group, 8/15/07; Roanoke

4 5

11

16 17 18

19 20 21

22

29 30 31

32 33

34 35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

<sup>24</sup> Work Group, 5/30/07

<sup>25</sup> Work Group, 8/15/07; Roanoke.

to request modifications or amendments to any proposals<sup>24</sup>.

In the event that more than one proposal will be considered in the detailed phase of review, the public entityRPE should consider whether the unsuccessful proposer should be reimbursed for costs incurred in the detailed phase of review, and such reasonable costs may be assessed to the successful proposer in the comprehensive agreement.

4. Discussions between responsible public bodiesRPE's and private entities about the need for infrastructure improvements shall not limit the ability of a public entity RPE to later determine to use standard procurement procedures to meet its infrastructure needs. The public entityRPE retains the right to reject any proposal at any time prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement.

## **VIV.** Proposal Preparation and Submission

## A. Format for Submissions at Conceptual Stage

A responsible public entity RPE may require that proposals at the conceptual stage contain information in the following areas: (i) qualifications and experience, (ii) project characteristics, (iii) project financing, (iv) anticipated public support or opposition, or both, (v) project benefit and compatibility and (vi) any additional information as the responsible public entityRPE may reasonably request to comply with the requirements of the PPEA. Suggestions for formatting information to be included in proposals at this stage include the items listed below, as well as any additional information or documents that RPE may request:  $\frac{25}{1}$ 

#### 1. Qualification and Experience

- a. Identify the legal structure of the firm or consortium of firms making the Identify the organizational structure for the project, the management approach and how each partner and major subcontractor in the structure fits into the overall team.
- Describe the experience of the firm or consortium of firms making the b. proposal and the key principals involved in the proposed project including experience with projects of comparable size and complexity. Describe the length of time in business, business experience, public sector experience and other engagements of the firm or consortium of firms. Include the identity of any firms that will provide design, construction and completion

| 1 2 |          | guarantees and warranties and a description of such guarantees and warranties. |
|-----|----------|--|
| 3   |          | warranties.  |
| 4   | c.       | Provide the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of persons within          |
| 5   | C.       | the firm or consortium of firms who may be contacted for further               |
| 6   |          | information.   |
| 7   |          | mornation.   |
| 8   | d.       | Provide a current or most recently audited financial statement of the firm     |
| 9   | u.       | or firms and each partner with an equity interest of twenty percent or         |
| 10  |          | greater.   |
| 1   |          | greater.   |
| 12  | e.       | Identify any persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to           |
| 13  | C.       | disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or    |
| 14  |          | in connection to the project pursuant to The Virginia State and Local          |
| 15  |          | Government Conflict of Interest Act, Chapter 31 (§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of        |
| 16  |          | Title 2.2.   |
| 17  |          |  |
| 18  | 2. P     | roject Characteristics   |
| 19  | <u> </u> | - 0 <u>1-01-01-01-01-01-0</u>  |
| 20  | a.       | Provide a description of the project, including the conceptual design.         |
| 21  | •••      | Describe the proposed project in sufficient detail so that type and intent of  |
| 22  |          | the project, the location, and the communities that may be affected are        |
| 23  |          | clearly identified.  |
| 24  |          | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  |
| 25  | b.       | Identify and fully describe any work to be performed by the public             |
| 26  |          | entityRPE.   |
| 27  |          |  |
| 28  | c.       | Include a list of all federal, state and local permits and approvals required  |
| 29  |          | for the project and a schedule for obtaining such permits and approvals.       |
| 30  |          |  |
| 31  | d.       | Identify any anticipated adverse social, economic and environmental            |
| 32  |          | impacts of the project. Specify the strategies or actions to mitigate known    |
| 33  |          | impacts of the project.  |
| 34  |          |  |
| 35  | e.       | Identify the projected positive social, economic and environmental             |
| 36  |          | impacts of the project.  |
| 37  |          |  |
| 38  | f.       | Identify the proposed schedule for the work on the project, including the      |
| 39  |          | estimated time for completion.   |
| 40  |          | <del>-</del>   |
| 11  | g.       | Propose allocation of risk and liability for work completed beyond the         |
| 12  | _        | agreement's completion date, and assurances for timely completion of the       |
| 13  |          | project.   |
| 14  |          |  |

| 1 2 3  | h.             | State assumptions related to ownership, legal liability, law enforcement and operation of the project and the existence of any restrictions on the <a href="mailto:public entityRPE">public entityRPE</a> 's use of the project.   |
|--|----------------|--|
| 4<br>5<br>6                                  | i.             | Provide information relative to phased or partial openings of the proposed project prior to completion of the entire work.   |
| 7<br>8<br>9                                  | j.             | List any other assumptions relied on for the project to be successful.   |
| 10<br>11                                     | k.             | List any contingencies that must occur for the project to be successful.   |
| 12   | <u>3. Proj</u> | ect Financing  |
| 13<br>14<br>15                               | a.             | Provide a preliminary estimate and estimating methodology of the cost of the work by phase, segment, or both.  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | b.             | Submit a plan for the development, financing and operation of the project showing the anticipated schedule on which funds will be required. Describe the anticipated costs of and proposed sources and uses for such funds including any anticipated debt service costs. The operational plan should include appropriate staffing levels and associated costs. Include supporting due diligence studies, analyses, or reports.       |
| 23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29<br>30 | c.             | Include a list and discussion of assumptions underlying all major elements of the plan. Assumptions should include all significant fees associated with financing given the recommended financing approach. In addition complete disclosure of interest rate assumptions should be included. Any ongoing operational fees, if applicable, should also be disclosed as well as any assumptions with regard to increases in such fees. |
| 31<br>32                                     | d.             | Identify the proposed risk factors and methods for dealing with these factors.   |
| 33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38             | e.             | Identify any local, state or federal resources that the proposer contemplates requesting for the project. Describe the total commitment, if any, expected from governmental sources and the timing of any anticipated commitment. Such disclosure should include any direct or indirect guarantees or pledges of the public entityRPE's credit or revenue.   |
| 39<br>40<br>41                               | f.             | Identify the amounts and the terms and conditions for any revenue sources.   |
| 42<br>43<br>44                               | g.             | Identify any aspect of the project that could disqualify the project from obtaining tax-exempt financing.  |

| 1                                | <u>4.</u> | Project Benefit and Compatibility   |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---|
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5                 | a.        | Identify who will benefit from the project, how they will benefit and how the project will benefit the overall community, region, or state.   |
| 6<br>7<br>8                      | b.        | Identify any anticipated public support or opposition, as well as any anticipated government support or opposition, for the project.  |
| 9<br>10<br>11                    | c.        | Explain the strategy and plans that will be carried out to involve and inform the general public, business community, and governmental agencies in areas affected by the project.   |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17 | d.        | Describe the anticipated significant benefits to the community, region or state, including anticipated benefits to the economic condition of the <a href="mailto:public entityRPE">public entityRPE</a> and whether the project is critical to attracting or maintaining competitive industries and businesses to the <a href="mailto:public entityRPE">public entityRPE</a> or the surrounding region. |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22             | e.        | Describe compatibility with the local comprehensive plan, local infrastructure development plans, the capital improvements budget or other government spending plan.  |
| 23<br>24<br>25<br>26             | f.        | Provide a statement setting forth participation efforts that are intended to be undertaken in connection with this project with regard to the following types of businesses: (i) minority-owned businesses, (ii) woman-owned businesses, and (iii) small businesses.  |
| 27<br>28                         | <u>B.</u> | Format for Submissions at Detailed Stage  |
| 29<br>30  <br>31<br>32           | review wi | the responsible public entity RPE decides to proceed to the detailed phase of the one or more proposals, the following information should be provided by the tity unless waived by the responsible public entity RPE:   |
| 33<br>34<br>35<br>36             | •         | A topographical map (1:2,000 or other appropriate scale) depicting the location of the proposed project;  |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40             | 2.        | A list of public utility facilities, if any, that will be crossed by the qualifying project and a statement of the plans of the proposer to accommodate such crossings;   |
| 41<br>42                         | 3.        | A statement and strategy setting out the plans for securing all necessary property;   |
| 43<br>44<br>45<br>46             | 4.        | A detailed listing of all firms that will provide specific design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a brief description of such guarantees and warranties;  |

| 1          |               |      |  |
|------------|---------------|------|--|
| 2          |               | 5.   | A total life-cycle cost specifying methodology and assumptions of the project        |
| 3          |               |      | or projects and the proposed project start date. Include anticipated                 |
| 4          |               |      | commitment of all parties; equity, debt, and other financing mechanisms; and         |
| 5          |               |      | a schedule of project revenues and project costs. The life-cycle cost analysis       |
| 6          |               |      | should include, but not be limited to, a detailed analysis of the projected          |
| 7          |               |      | return, rate of return, or both, expected useful life of facility and estimated      |
| 8          |               |      | annual operating expenses.   |
| 9          |               | _    |  |
| 10         |               | 6.   | A detailed discussion of assumptions about user fees or rates, and usage of the      |
| 1          |               |      | projects.  |
| 12         |               | _    |  |
| 13         |               | /.   | Identification of any known government support or opposition, or general             |
| 14         |               |      | public support or opposition for the project. Government or public support           |
| 15         |               |      | should be demonstrated through resolution of official bodies, minutes of             |
| 16         |               |      | meetings, letters, or other official communications.                                 |
| 17         |               | 0    | Demonstration of consistence with communicate level communicates                     |
| 18         |               | 8.   | Demonstration of consistency with appropriate local comprehensive or                 |
| 19         |               |      | infrastructure development plans or indication of the steps required for             |
| 20         |               |      | acceptance into such plans.  |
| 21<br>22   |               | Q    | Explanation of how the proposed project would impact local development               |
| 23         |               | ٦.   | plans of each affected local jurisdiction.   |
| 23  <br>24 |               |      | plans of each affected focus jurisdiction.   |
| 25         |               | 10.  | Identification of the executive management and the officers and directors of         |
| 26         |               |      | the firm or firms submitting the proposal. In addition, identification of any        |
| 27         |               |      | known conflicts of interest or other disabilities that may impact the public         |
| 28         |               |      | entityRPE's consideration of the proposal, including the identification of any       |
| 29         |               |      | persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to disqualify                   |
| 30         |               |      | themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection       |
| 31         |               |      | to the project pursuant to the Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of       |
| 32         |               |      | Interest Act, Chapter 31 (§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of Title 2.2.                          |
| 33         |               |      | •  |
| 34         |               | 11.  | Additional material and information as the public entityRPE may reasonably           |
| 35         |               |      | request.   |
| 36         |               |      |  |
| 37         | <u>VI_V</u> . |      | Proposal Evaluation and Selection Criteria   |
| 38         |               |      |  |
| 39         |               |      | There are several factors that an RPE may wish to consider when                      |
| 10         |               |      | and selecting a proposal under the PPEA. <sup>26</sup> The following are items shall |
| 11         |               |      | <u>nat may</u> be considered <u>by a RPE</u> in the evaluation and selection of PPEA |
| 12         | proposa       | als. |  |

<sup>26</sup> Work Group, 8/15/07, to clarify that the factors listed are not mandatory; Folk.

| 1                  | <u>A. Qu</u>  | alifications and Experience   |
|--------------------|---------------|---|
| 2<br>3  <br>4<br>5 |               | rs to be considered in either phase of the responsible public entity RPE's termine whether the proposer possesses the requisite qualifications and clude: |
| 6<br>7<br>0        | 1.            | Experience with similar projects;   |
| 8<br>9<br>10       | 2.            | Demonstration of ability to perform work;   |
| 11<br>12           | 3.            | Leadership structure;   |
| 13<br>14           | 4.            | Project manager's experience;   |
| 15<br>16           | 5.            | Management approach;  |
| 17<br>18           | 6.            | Financial condition; and  |
| 19<br>20           | 7.            | Project ownership.  |
| 21<br>22           | B. Pro        | oject Characteristics   |
| 23<br>24           | Factor        | rs to be considered in determining the project characteristics include:   |
| 25<br>26           | 1.            | Project definition;   |
| 27<br>28           | 2.            | Proposed project schedule;  |
| 29<br>30           | 3.            | Operation of the project;   |
| 31<br>32           | 4.            | Technology; technical feasibility;  |
| 33<br>34           | 5.            | Conformity to laws, regulations, and standards;   |
| 35<br>36           | 6.            | Environmental impacts;  |
| 37<br>38           | 7.            | Condemnation impacts;   |
| 39<br>40           | 8.            | State and local permits; and  |
| 41<br>42           | 9.            | Maintenance of the project.   |
| 43<br>44           | <u>C. Pro</u> | oject Financing   |
| 45<br>46           |               | rs to be considered in determining whether the proposed project financing ate access to the necessary capital to finance the project include:             |

| 1 2  | 1.                     | Cost and cost benefit to the responsible public entity RPE;   |
|--|------------------------|---|
| 3<br>4<br>5                                | 2.                     | Financing and the impact on the debt or debt burden of the responsible public entity RPE or appropriating body; <sup>27</sup>   |
| 6<br>7<br>8<br>9                           | 3.                     | Financial plan, including the degree to which the proposer has conducted due diligence investigation and analysis of the proposed financial plan and the results of any such inquiries or studies;  |
| 10<br>11                                   | <u>4.</u>              | Opportunity costs assessment; <sup>28</sup>   |
| 12<br>13                                   | <u>4.5.</u>            | _Estimated cost;  |
| 14<br>15<br>16                             | <del>5.</del> 6.       | _Life-cycle cost analysis;  |
| 17<br>18<br>19                             | <del>6.</del> 7.       | The identity, credit history, past performance of any third party that will provide financing for the project and the nature and timing of their commitment, as applicable; and   |
| <ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul> | 8.                     | Such other items as the public entity RPE deems appropriate.  |
| 23<br>24<br>25<br>26                       | are deemed project may | e event that any project is financed through the issuance of obligations that to be tax-supported debt of the public entity RPE, or if financing such a impact the public entity RPE's debt rating or financial position, the public ay select its own finance team, source, and financing vehicle. |
| <ul><li>27</li><li>28</li><li>29</li></ul> | <u>D. P</u> 1          | roject Benefit and Compatibility  |
| 30<br>31<br>32                             |                        | rs to be considered in determining the proposed project's compatibility with te local or regional comprehensive or development plans include:   |
| 33<br>34                                   | 1.                     | Community benefits;   |
| 35<br>36                                   | 2.                     | Community support or opposition, or both;   |
| 37<br>38                                   | 3.                     | Public involvement strategy;  |
| 39<br>40                                   | 4.                     | Compatibility with existing and planned facilities; and   |
| 41   | 5.                     | Compatibility with local, regional, and state economic development efforts  |

<sup>27</sup> Work Group, 8/15/07; Roanoke. <sup>28</sup> SB 756, p. 3, line 172.

| 1               | E. Ot          | cher Factors   |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 2               |                |  |
| 3               | Other          | factors that may be considered by a responsible public entityRPE in the                    |
| 4 5             | evaluation and | d selection of PPEA proposals include:   |
| 6               | 1.             | The proposed cost of the qualifying project;   |
| 7               |                |  |
| 8<br>9          | 2.             | The general reputation, industry experience, and financial capacity of the private entity; |
| 10              | _              |  |
| 11              | 3.             | The proposed design of the qualifying project;   |
| 12              |                |  |
| 13              | 4.             | The eligibility of the project for accelerated documentation, review, and                  |
| 14              |                | selection;   |
| 15              | ~              |  |
| 16              | 5.             | Local citizen and government comments;   |
| 17              |                | D C ( 4 1 11 1 1 C 1 1 1 C 1 1 29  |
| 18              | 6.             | Benefits to the public, including financial and non financial; <sup>29</sup>               |
| 19              | 7              | The mirror entity's committees with a minority bysiness entermine                          |
| 20              | 7.             | The private entity's compliance with a minority business enterprise                        |
| 21              |                | participation plan or good faith effort to comply with the goals of such                   |
| 22              |                | plan;  |
| 23<br>24        | 8.             | The private entity's plans to ampley local contractors and residents; and                  |
| 24  <br>25      | 0.             | The private entity's plans to employ local contractors and residents; and                  |
| 25<br>26        | 9.             | The recommendation of a committee of representatives of members of the                     |
| 27              | 9.             | RPE and the appropriating body which may be established to provide                         |
| 28              |                | advisory oversight for the project; and 30   |
| 29              |                | advisory oversight for the project, and  |
| 30              | 10.            | Other criteria that the responsible public entity RPE deems appropriate.                   |
| 30 <sub> </sub> | <u>10.</u>     | Other effectia that the responsible public entity <u>ref</u> deems appropriate.            |
| 32              | VI. Addition   | nal Review Procedures.   |
| 33              | vi. Huditio    | Har Review 1 roccuties.  |
| 34              | <b>A. P</b> :  | ublic Private Partnership Oversight Advisory Committee                                     |
| 35              | 110 1          | done 111/400 1 41 merom by versight 124 visory committee                                   |
| 36              | An Rl          | PE that is an agency or institution of the Commonwealth shall and all other                |
| 37              |                | establish criteria to trigger establishment of an advisory committee consisting            |
| 38              |                | tives of the RPE and the appropriating body to review the terms of the                     |
| 39              |                | erim or comprehensive agreement. The criteria should include, but not be                   |

limited to, the scope, total cost and duration of the proposed project and whether the project

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> SB 756, p. 3, line 176.
<sup>30</sup> SB 756, p. 4, line 180.
<sup>31</sup> Work Group, 8/15/07, to clarify that establishment of advisory committee is not mandatory for non state RPE's; Roanoke.

involves or impacts multiple public entities.<sup>32</sup> Timelines for the work of the committee should be developed and made available to proposers.<sup>33</sup>

qualifying project is different from the RPE reviewing or approving the project, then the

public entityRPE should establish a mechanism for that appropriating body to review any

proposed interim or comprehensive agreement prior to execution.<sup>34</sup> When a school board is

the RPE, review by the local governing body shall satisfy the requirement for this

If the public entityRPE for appropriating or authorizing funding to pay for a

3 4

1

2

requirement.<sup>35</sup>

## 9 10 11 12

13 14

15

# 232425

2627

28 29

> 30 31 32

> 333435

363738

40 41

39

## VII. Interim and Comprehensive Agreements

**B.** Appropriating Body

Prior to developing or operating the qualifying project, the selected private entity shall enter into a comprehensive agreement with the responsible public entityRPE. Prior to entering a comprehensive agreement an interim agreement may be entered into that permits a private entity to perform compensable activities related to the project. The responsible public entityRPE may designate a working group to be responsible for negotiating any interim or comprehensive agreement. Any interim or comprehensive agreement shall define the rights and obligations of the responsible public entityRPE and the selected proposer with regard to the project.

## A. Interim Agreement Terms

The scope of an interim agreement may include but is not limited to:

- 1. Project planning and development;
- 2. Design and engineering;
- 3. Environmental analysis and mitigation;
- 4. Survey;
- 5. Ascertaining the availability of financing for the proposed facility through financial and revenue analysis;
- 6. Establish a process and timing of the negotiation of the comprehensive agreement; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> SB 756, p. 4, line 180.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Work Group, 5/30/07.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> SB 756, p. 3, line 177.

<sup>35</sup> Work Group, 5/30/07; revised 8/15/07; Folk, Roanoke.

| 1        | 7.        | Any other provisions related to any aspect of the development or operation of     |
|----------|-----------|---|
| 2        |           | a qualifying project that the parties may deem appropriate prior to the           |
| 3        |           | execution of a comprehensive agreement.   |
| 4        |           |   |
| 5        | <u>B.</u> | Comprehensive Agreement Terms   |
| 6        |           |   |
| 7        | Th        | e scope of the comprehensive agreement shall include but not be limited to:       |
| 8        | 1         |   |
| 9        | 1.        | The delivery of maintenance, performance and payment bonds or letters of          |
| 10       |           | credit in connection with any acquisition, design, construction, improvement,     |
| 11       |           | renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying     |
| 12<br>13 |           | project;  |
| 14       | 2         | The review of plans and specifications for the qualifying project by the          |
| 15       | ۷.        | responsible public entity RPE;  |
| 16       |           | responsible public entity <u>ixt D</u> ,  |
| 7        | 3.        | The rights of the responsible public entityRPE to inspect the qualifying          |
| 18       |           | project to ensure compliance with the comprehensive agreement;                    |
| 19       |           | r J   |
| 20       | 4.        | The maintenance of a policy or policies of liability insurance or self-insurance  |
| 21       |           | reasonably sufficient to insure coverage of the project and the tort liability to |
| 22       |           | the public and employees and to enable the continued operation of the             |
| 23       |           | qualifying project;   |
| 24       |           |   |
| 25       | 5.        | The monitoring of the practices of the private entity by the responsible public   |
| 26       |           | entity RPE to ensure proper maintenance;  |
| 27       |           |   |
| 28       | 6.        | 1 7   |
| 29       |           | entityRPE for services provided;  |
| 30<br>31 | 7         | The policy and procedures that will govern the rights and responsibilities of     |
| 32       | /.        | the responsible public entity RPE and the private entity in the event that the    |
| 33       |           | comprehensive agreement is terminated or there is a material default by the       |
| 34       |           | private entity including the conditions governing assumption of the duties and    |
| 35       |           | responsibilities of the private entity by the responsible public entityRPE and    |
| 36       |           | the transfer or purchase of property or other interests of the private entity by  |
| 37       |           | the responsible public entity RPE;  |
| 38       |           |   |
| 39       | 8.        | The terms under which the private entity will file appropriate financial          |
| 40       |           | statements on a periodic basis;   |
| 11       |           |   |
| 12       | 9.        | The mechanism by which user fees, lease payments, or service payments, if         |
| 13       |           | any, may be established from time to time upon agreement of the parties. Any      |
| 14       |           | payments or fees shall be set at a level that is the same for persons using the   |
| 15       |           | facility under like conditions and that will not materially discourage use for    |
| 16       |           | the qualifying project:   |

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4                           |  | a. A copy of any service contract shall be filed with the responsible public entity RPE.   |
|--|--|--|
| 5<br>6<br>7                                |  | b. A schedule of the current user fees or lease payments shall be made available by the private entity to any member of the public upon request.   |
| 8<br>9                                     |  | c. Classifications according to reasonable categories for assessment of user fees may be made.   |
| 10<br>11<br>12                             | 10.  | The terms and conditions under which the responsible public entity RPE may contribute financial resources, if any, for the qualifying project;   |
| 13<br>14<br>15<br>16                       | 11.  | The terms and conditions under which existing site conditions will be assessed and addressed, including identification of the responsible party for conducting the assessment and taking necessary remedial action;  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20                       | 12.  | The terms and conditions under which the public entity will be required to pay money to the private entity and the amount of any such payments for the project.  |
| <ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul> | 13.  | Other requirements of the PPEA or other applicable law; and  |
| 24<br>25<br>26                             | 14.  | Such other terms and conditions as the public entityRPE may deem appropriate.  |
| 27<br>28<br>29                             | Any changes in the terms of the interim or comprehensive agreement as may be agreed upon by the parties from time to time shall be added to the interim or comprehensive agreement by written amendment. |  |
| 30<br>31<br>32<br>33                       |  | ne comprehensive agreement may provide for the development or operation of segments of a qualifying project.   |
| 34<br>35                                   | C.   | Notice and Posting requirements.   |
| 36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42     | entering i<br>shall prov<br>period ma<br>entityRPE   | In addition to the posting requirements of Section—V_III. B, 30 days prior to not an interim or comprehensive agreement, a responsible public entityRPE ide an opportunity for public comment on the proposals. Such public comment ay include a public hearing in the sole discretion of the responsible public d. After the end of the public comment period, no additional posting shall be based on any public comment received. |
| 42<br>43<br>44<br>45                       | comprehe   | Once the negotiation phase for the development of an interim or a nsive agreement is complete and a decision to award has been made by a le public entity RPE, the responsible public entity RPE shall post the proposed   |

46

agreement in the following manner:

| 1  | The state of the s |
|----|--|
| 2  | a. For responsible public entities RPE's that are state agencies, departments, and   |
| 3  | institutions, posting shall be on the Department of General Service's web-based electronic   |
| 4  | procurement program commonly known as "eVA;" and   |
| 5  |  |
| 6  | b. For responsible public entities RPE's that are local public bodies, posting shall   |
| 7  | be on the responsible public entity RPE's website or by publication, in a newspaper of   |
| 8  | general circulation in the area in which the contract is to be performed, of a summary of  |
| 9  | the proposals and the location where copies of the proposals are available for public  |
| 10 | inspection. Posting may also be on the Department of General Service's web-based   |
| 11 | electronic procurement program commonly known as "eVA," in the discretion of the   |
| 12 | local <del>responsible public entity</del> <u>RPE</u> .  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | c. In addition to the posting requirements, at least one copy of the proposals shall   |
| 15 | be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records  |
| 16 | of the private entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of §   |
| 17 | 2.2-3705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the  |
| 18 | responsible public entity RPE and the private entity.  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | d. Any studies and analyses considered by the RPE in its review of a proposal  |
| 21 | shall be disclosed to the appropriating body at some point prior to the execution of an  |
| 22 | interim or comprehensive agreement; <sup>36</sup>  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | 3. Once an interim agreement or a comprehensive agreement has been entered   |
| 25 | into, a responsible public entity RPE shall make procurement records available for public  |
| 26 | inspection, upon request.  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 | a. Such procurement records shall include documents protected from disclosure  |
| 29 | during the negotiation phase on the basis that the release of such documents would have  |
| 30 | adversely affect the financial interest or bargaining position of the responsible public   |
| 31 | entity RPE or private entity in accordance with Section II.D.2.  |
| 32 |  |
| 33 | b. Such procurement records shall not include (i) trade secrets of the private   |
| 34 | entity as defined in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (§ 59.1-336 et seq.) or (ii) financial  |
| 35 | records, including balance sheets or financial statements of the private entity that are not   |
| 36 | generally available to the public through regulatory disclosure or otherwise.  |
| 37 |  |
| 38 | c. To the extent access to procurement records are compelled or protected by a   |
| 39 | court order, then the RPE must comply with such order. <sup>37</sup>   |
| 40 |  |
| 41 | VIII. Governing Provisions   |
| 42 |  |
| 43 | In the event of any conflict between these provisions guidelines and the PPEA, the   |
| 44 | terms of the PPEA shall control.   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> SB 756, p. 3, line 172. <sup>37</sup> Work Group, 8/15/07, to clarify the affect of court order or access to documents.

## **Terms and Definitions**

- "Affected jurisdiction" means any county, city or town in which all or a portion of a qualifying project is located.
- "Appropriating body" means the body responsible for appropriating or authorizing funding to pay for a qualifying project.
- "Conceptual stage" means the initial phase of project evaluation where the public entity makes a determination whether the proposed project serves a public purpose, meets the criteria for a qualifying project, assesses the qualifications and experience of a private entity proposer, reviews the project for financial feasibility, and warrants further pursuit.
- "Comprehensive agreement" means the comprehensive agreement between the private entity and the responsible public entity that is required prior to the development or operation of a qualifying project.
- "Cost-benefit analysis" means an analysis that weighs expected costs against expected benefits in order to choose the best option. For example, a city manager may compare the costs and benefits of constructing a new office building to those of renovating and maintaining an existing structure in order to select the most financially advantageous option.
- "Detailed stage" means the second phase of project evaluation where the public entity has completed the conceptual stage and accepted the proposal and may requests additional information regarding a proposed project prior to entering into competitive negotiations with one or more private entities to develop an interim or comprehensive agreement.
- "Develop" or "development" means to plan, design, develop, finance, lease, acquire, install, construct, or expand.
- "Interim agreement" means an agreement between a private entity and a responsible public entity that provides for phasing of the development or operation, or both, of a qualifying project. Such phases may include, but are not limited to, design, planning, engineering, environmental analysis and mitigation, financial and revenue analysis, or any other phase of the project that constitutes activity on any part of the qualifying project.
- "Lease payment" means any form of payment, including a land lease, by a public entity to the private entity for the use of a qualifying project.
- "Lifecycle cost analysis" means an analysis that calculates cost of an asset over its entire life span and includes the cost of planning, constructing, operating, maintaining, replacing, and when applicable, salvaging the asset. Although one proposal may have a

lower initial construction cost, it may not have the lowest lifecycle cost once maintenance, replacement, and salvage value is considered

"Material default" means any default by the private entity in the performance of its duties that jeopardizes adequate service to the public from a qualifying project.

"Operate" means to finance, maintain, improve, equip, modify, repair, or operate.

"Opportunity cost" means the cost of passing up another choice when making a decision or the increase in costs due to delays in making a decision.

"Private entity" means any natural person, corporation, general partnership, limited liability company, limited partnership, joint venture, business trust, public benefit corporation, non-profit entity, or other business entity.

"Public entity" means the Commonwealth and any agency or authority thereof, any county, city or town and any other political subdivision of the Commonwealth, any public body politic and corporate, or any regional entity that serves a public purpose.

"Qualifying project" means (i) any education facility, including, but not limited to a school building, any functionally related and subordinate facility and land to a school building (including any stadium or other facility primarily used for school events), and any depreciable property provided for use in a school facility that is operated as part of the public school system or as an institution of higher education; (ii) any building or facility that meets a public purpose and is developed or operated by or for any public entity; (iii) any improvements, together with equipment, necessary to enhance public safety and security of buildings to be principally used by a public entity; (iv) utility and telecommunications and other communications infrastructure; (v) a recreational facility; (vi) technology infrastructure and services, including, but not limited to, telecommunications, automated data processing, word processing and management information systems, and related information, equipment, goods and services; (vii) any technology, equipment, or infrastructure designed to deploy wireless broadband services to schools, businesses, or residential areas; or (viii) any improvements necessary or desirable to any unimproved locally- or state-owned real estate.

"Responsible public entity" means a public entity that has the power to develop or operate the applicable qualifying project.

"Revenues" means all revenues, income, earnings, user fees, lease payments, or other service payments arising out of or in connection with supporting the development or operation of a qualifying project, including without limitation, money received as grants or otherwise from the United States of America, from any public entity, or from any agency or instrumentality of the foregoing in aid of such facility.

"Service contract" means a contract entered into between a public entity and the private entity pursuant to 56-575.5.

11

"Service payments" means payments to the private entity of a qualifying project pursuant to a service contract.

"State" means the Commonwealth of Virginia.

"User fees" mean the rates, fees or other charges imposed by the private entity of a qualifying project for use of all or a portion of such qualifying project pursuant to the comprehensive agreement pursuant to 56-575.9.