RAISING CIGARETTE TAXES REDUCES SMOKING, ESPECIALLY AMONG KIDS
(AND THE CIGARETTE COMPANIES KNOW IT)

The cigarette companies have opposed tobacco tax increases by arguing that raising cigarette prices would not reduce adult or youth smoking. But the companies’ internal documents, disclosed in the tobacco lawsuits, show that they know very well that raising cigarette prices is one of the most effective ways to prevent and reduce smoking, especially among kids.

- RJ Reynolds: *If prices were 10% higher, 12-17 incidence [the percentage of kids who smoke] would be 11.9% lower.*

- Philip Morris: *It is clear that price has a pronounced effect on the smoking prevalence of teenagers, and that the goals of reducing teenage smoking and balancing the budget would both be served by increasing the Federal excise tax on cigarettes.*

- Philip Morris: *Jeffrey Harris of MIT calculated . . . that the 1982-83 round of price increases caused two million adults to quit smoking and prevented 600,000 teenagers from starting to smoke. . . . We don’t need to have that happen again.*

- Philip Morris: *A high cigarette price, more than any other cigarette attribute, has the most dramatic impact on the share of the quitting population. . . . price, not tar level, is the main driving force for quitting.*

The companies have even publicly admitted the effectiveness of tax increases to deter smoking in their required filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

- Philip Morris: *Increases in excise and similar taxes have had an adverse impact on sales of cigarettes. Any future increases, the extent of which cannot be predicted, could result in volume declines for the cigarette industry.* [10-Q Report, May 11, 2001.]

- Loews/Lorillard Tobacco: *Significant increases in federal and state excise taxes on cigarettes . . . have, and are likely to continue to have, an adverse effect on cigarette sales.* [Loews (parent corporation of the Lorillard cigarette company) 10-K Report, March 31, 1999.]

- R.J. Reynolds: *Substantial increases in state and federal excise taxes on cigarettes. . . have had and will likely continue to have an adverse effect on cigarette sales.* [10-Q Report, August 1, 2001.]

**Economic Research On Cigarette Tax Increases Reducing Smoking**

Numerous economic studies in peer-reviewed journals have documented that cigarette tax or price increases reduce both adult and underage smoking. The general consensus is that every 10 percent increase in the real price of cigarettes will reduce overall cigarette consumption by approximately three to five percent and reduce the number of kids who smoke by about six or seven percent. Research studies have also made the following related findings:

- Among all adults or all youths, cigarette price increases work even more effectively to prevent and reduce smoking among males, Blacks, Hispanics, and lower-income persons.
• Higher taxes on spit tobacco reduce its use, particularly among young males.\textsuperscript{7}

• Kids do not smoke more marijuana if they reduce their cigarette smoking. In fact, cigarette price increases not only reduce youth smoking but also reduce both the number of kids who smoke marijuana and the amount of marijuana consumed by continuing regular users.\textsuperscript{8}

**Expert Conclusions on Cigarette Prices and Smoking Levels**

• The 2000 U.S. Surgeon General's Report, *Reducing Tobacco Use*, found that increasing the price of tobacco products would decrease the prevalence of tobacco use, particularly among kids and young adults, and that tobacco tax increases would lead to "substantial long-term improvements in health." From its review of existing research, the report concluded that raising tobacco taxes is one of the most effective tobacco prevention and control strategies.\textsuperscript{9}

• The 1999 World Bank report *Curbing The Tobacco Epidemic: Governments and the Economics of Tobacco Control* carefully evaluated existing research and data, worldwide, and concluded that "the most effective way to deter children from taking up smoking is to increase taxes on tobacco. High prices prevent some children and adolescents from starting and encourage those who already smoke to reduce their consumption."\textsuperscript{10}

• Wall Street tobacco industry analysts have long recognized the powerful role increased cigarette taxes and rising cigarette prices play in reducing U.S. smoking levels. For example, a December 1998 “Sensitivity Analysis on Cigarette Price Elasticity” by Credit Suisse First Boston Corporation settled on a “conservative” estimate that cigarette consumption will decline by four percent for every 10 percent increase in price.

• In its 1998 report, *Taking Action to Reduce Tobacco Use*, the National Academy of Sciences' Institute of Medicine concluded that “the single most direct and reliable method for reducing consumption is to increase the price of tobacco products, thus encouraging the cessation and reducing the level of initiation of tobacco use.”\textsuperscript{11}

• A National Cancer Institute Expert Panel reported in 1993 that “a substantial increase in tobacco excise taxes may be the single most effective measure for decreasing tobacco consumption,” and “an excise tax reduces consumption by children and teenagers at least as much as it reduces consumption by adults.”

**Increasing U.S. Cigarette Prices and Declining Consumption**

Although there are many other factors involved, comparing the trends in cigarette prices and overall U.S. cigarette consumption from 1970 to 2001 shows that there is a strong correlation between increasing prices and decreasing consumption (see chart below). While U.S. cigarette prices are largely controlled by the cigarette companies' price-setting decisions, from 1970 to 2002, the federal tax on cigarettes also increased from eight cents to 39 cents per pack and the average state cigarette tax increased from 11 to 45 cents per pack. Without these federal and state tax increases, U.S. cigarette prices would be much lower and U.S. smoking levels would be much higher.
The Canadian Experience. From 1979 to 1991 real prices in Canada increased from $2.09 to $5.42 and smoking among 15 to 19 year olds fell from 42 to 16 percent. As the President of the Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers Council then admitted to a legislative committee, "there is no question that consumption is down measurably over the last five years, and there is no question in our minds that taxes have been a significant factor." But when Canada subsequently reduced its cigarette taxes (to reduce tax-avoidance smuggling supported by the cigarette companies), youth smoking immediately increased for the first time in nearly fifteen years.

For more information, please go to the Campaign's special website page on tobacco taxes at http://tobaccofreekids.org/reports/prices
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11 Available at www.cdc.gov/tobacco/sgrpage.htm.
13 Bill Neville, President, Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers Council, testifying before Legislative Committee F on Bill C-10, An Act to Amend the Excise Tax Act and the Excise Act, September 26, 1991.