Virginia's Chesapeake Bay Watershed Point Source Nutrient Control Strategy





HJR 640 Committee
July 20, 2005 Meeting



Presentation Summary

- What Makes Up VA's Point Source Strategy?
 - VA Tributary Strategies
 - Point Source Regulations
 - Chesapeake Bay Watershed Nutrient Credit Exchange Program
 - Water Quality Improvement Fund
- How does this compare to the Chesapeake Bay Commission's Cost-Effective Strategies report?

Watershed Approach to Nutrient Reduction



- Virginia's <u>Tributary Strategies</u> define the necessary point and non-point source control actions
 - Developed based upon models and extensive stakeholder input
- Point source load allocations were based upon design flow capacity with stringent nutrient control technologies
 - Final implementation depends upon regulations being developed by the SWCB
- Remaining nutrient reductions in river basins need to be accomplished by non-point sources

Status of Regulatory Actions for Point Source Dischargers

- Water Quality Management Planning Regulation sets nutrient waste load allocations
- Regulation for Nutrient Dischargers sets technologybased nutrient concentration limits for certain discharges
 - Allocations are based upon stringent treatment levels
 - State Water Control Board action expected fall 2005
- Watershed General Permit authorizes discharge of nutrients from point sources and establishes trading program
 - Allows more cost-effective approach for meeting allocations
 - State Water Control Board action expected by early 2006

Chesapeake Bay Watershed Nutrient Credit Exchange Program (HB 2862 / SB 1275)

Legislative findings and purposes.

Adoption and utilization of a watershed general permit and market-based point source nutrient credit trading program will assist in:

- (a) meeting the nutrient cap load allocations costeffectively and as soon as possible in keeping with the 2010 timeline and objectives of the Chesapeake 2000 agreement,
- (b) accommodating continued growth and economic development in the Chesapeake Bay watershed, and
- (c) providing a foundation for establishing marketbased incentives to help achieve the Chesapeake Bay Program's non-point source reduction goals.

Major Elements of Credit Exchange Program

- SWCB to issue "Watershed General Permit" containing nutrient waste load allocations for each significant discharger
- Allows trading within basins among facilities covered by WGP
- Provides additional options for new or expanding dischargers: acquire non-point source offsets and/or payment into WQIF
- Authorizes establishment of "Nutrient Credit Exchange Association" to assist dischargers

How will Credit Exchange Program improve cost-effectiveness?

- Phasing in treatment plant upgrades not all plants need to construct facilities by 2010
- Avoid/delay less-efficient (i.e., higher cost per pound) upgrades
- Expanding and new plants may acquire nonpoint source offsets in lieu of excessive upgrade costs
- Avoid enforcement penalties through compliance alternative of acquiring credits from WQIF

Water Quality Improvement Fund Needs

- Total point source capital need: \$1.200 B
 - for 125 significant treatment plants
- POTW capital cost need: \$1.111 B
 - for 103 publicly owned plants
- Variables Affecting Amount of Grant Funds Needed:
 - WQIF grant percentage varies: 35 to 75%
 - Planning cost estimates: could be higher or lower
 - Not all POTWs may need, or decide, to upgrade prior to 2010 to achieve loading goals
- Compliance Plans required under Watershed General Permit will clarify the funding needs and schedules
 - Plans available in 2006

Water Quality Improvement Fund Needs [cont.]

WQIF funds available in FY06 = \$65.7 million

 WQIF funds needed to upgrade treatment plants ~ \$500 million



How Does VA's Point Source Strategy Compare to Commission's Report? Strategy is Consistent

- Relies on widespread installation of stringent nutrient removal technologies, recognizing regional needs
- Calls for operation of installed facilities at design efficiencies
- New credit exchange program provides cost-effective options that avoid the most technically difficult retrofits