



**STATE ACTION ON LEGISLATION TO COMPLY  
WITH THE STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX INTERSTATE AGREEMENT  
AS OF JULY 1, 2003**

<b>State/Population</b>	<b>Legislation / Sponsors</b>	<b>Status / Hearings / Events</b>
Alabama 4,461,130  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>HB 649 – Rep. Graham – Legislation brings Alabama into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>Passed House Committee</b>
Alaska 628,933  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>HB 293 Establishes a statewide sales tax system. Brings Alaska into compliance with the Agreement (Alaska is presently a non-sales tax state.)</b>	<b>5/12 Reported from House Ways &amp; Means Committee 5/13 Hearing Senate Finance 5/17 Rules Committee</b>
Arizona 5,140,683  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 1298 Sens. Chevront, Giffords, Arzberger Establishes study committee to determine should and how Arizona should comply</b>	
Arkansas 2,679,733  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 483 Sens. Hill, et al – Legislation brings Arkansas into compliance with the Agreement  HCR 1007 Rep. Ormond, Calls on Congress to give states remote sales collection authority when states have complied with the Agreement</b>	<b>March 19 Passed Senate April 8 Passed House-Amended April 10 Sent to Governor April 14 – Enacted HCR 1007 Passed House</b>
California 33,930,798  <i>(Mid-Sept)</i>	<b>SB 103 Sen. Alpert – would seek to require the online subsidiaries of traditional stores to collect the state’s sales and use taxes for transactions of California residents and expresses the intent to comply with the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement  SB 157 Senators Bowen &amp; Alpert – Streamlined Sales &amp; Use Tax Act – Would make California a member of the Implementing States, expresses the intent to streamline</b>	<b>5/8 Passed Senate 7/8 Assembly Committee Hearing  6/4 – Passed Senate – To Assembly 7/7 Assembly Committee Hearing</b>
Connecticut 3,409,535  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 328 by Sen. Crisco-Would make Connecticut a member of the Streamlined Sales Tax Implementing States – Does not contain any provisions to bring the state into compliance with the Agreement</b>	



**STATE ACTION ON LEGISLATION TO COMPLY  
WITH THE STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX INTERSTATE AGREEMENT  
AS OF JULY 1, 2003**

<b>State/Population</b>	<b>Legislation / Sponsors</b>	<b>Status / Hearings / Events</b>
<b>Florida</b> <b>16,028,890</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>S. 1776 Senate Finance &amp; Taxation Committee-</b> <b>legislation brings Florida into compliance with the Agreement.</b>	<b>3/27/03 Senate Finance Committee – S. 1776 Reported from Committee 4/14 on Senate Calendar 4/30 Passed Senate 40-0 5/2 Died House – No Action Special Session-No Action</b>
Georgia 8,206,975  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	HR 261 Reps. Royal, Sims, Borders – Resolution recognizes the impact of sales tax revenue loss to electronic commerce sales and the efforts of the streamlined sales tax agreement. Establishes a study committee to make recommendations to the legislature by December 31, 2003.	Passed House
<b>Hawaii</b> <b>1,216,642</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>HB 1226 Speaker Say</b> <b>SB 1397 Senate President Bunda</b> <b>Both the Senate &amp; House legislation would authorize Hawaii’s participation in Implementing States –</b>	<b>SB1397 Passed Both Houses 5/5 Sent to Governor NCSL Legislative Briefing Feb14 6/16/03 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>Idaho</b> <b>1,297,274</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 1193 State Affairs Committee – brings Idaho into compliance with the Agreement</b> <b>SJM 0104 – Resolution directs Congress to give states collection authority</b>	<b>Legislative Hearing – Boise, January 13, 2003 – NCSL Briefing 5/2 SB 1193 Died in Committee 5/2 SJM 0104 Passed Senate 5/2 SJM 0104 Failed in House</b>
<b>Illinois</b> <b>12,439,042</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 631 Sen. Welch; Sen. Rauschenberger</b> <b>Brings Illinois into compliance with the Agreement –Placeholder bill</b> <b>HB 848, 849, 850, 851 – Speaker Madigan, Rep. Currie, et al. – Placeholder bills</b>	<b>Passed Senate</b>  <b>Passed House</b>
<b>Indiana</b> <b>6,090,782</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>Legislation Introduced:</b> <b>SB 465 Sen. Borst;</b> <b>HB 1815 Rep. Crawford</b> <b>Legislation brings Indiana into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>4/24 HB 1815 House concurs with Senate Amendments –</b>  <b>Passed Both Houses 5/8 Signed by Governor</b>



**STATE ACTION ON LEGISLATION TO COMPLY  
WITH THE STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX INTERSTATE AGREEMENT  
AS OF JULY 1, 2003**

<b>State/Population</b>	<b>Legislation / Sponsors</b>	<b>Status / Hearings / Events</b>
<b>Iowa</b> 2,931,923 <i>(Adjourned)</i> <i>Special Session</i>	<b>Senate Study Bill 1200 – Senator McKibben</b> Legislation would bring Iowa into compliance with the Agreement <b>House Amendment 1715 to HF 683 –</b> amendment would bring Iowa into full compliance with the Agreement	<b>H1715 to HF 683 Adopted</b> 4/30 HF 683 Passed House  <b>HF683-Passed House 6/3</b> <b>HF683-Passed Senate 6/4</b> <b>6/19 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>Kansas</b> 2,693,824 <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 192 Committee on Assessment &amp; Taxation</b> Sen. Corbin – Brings Kansas in to compliance with the Agreement  <b>HB 2005 contains compliance language</b>	<b>2/24/03 Passed Senate 34-5</b>  <b>5/6 Passed Both Houses</b> <b>5/19 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>Kentucky</b> 4,049,431 <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>HB 293 Reps. Moberly and Belcher</b> Legislation to bring Kentucky into compliance with the Agreement	<b>2/19/03 Passed Committee</b> <b>2/24 Passed House</b> <b>3/6 Passed Senate</b> <b>3/18 Enacted</b>
<b>Louisiana</b> 4,480,271 <i>(June 22)</i>	<b>SB 551; SB 674; SB 708; and, SB 719 – Sen. Jones</b> – legislation would bring local taxing jurisdictions into some conformity, some compliance measures included for Agreement	
<b>Maine</b> 1,277,731 <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>LD 746/HP 552 Rep. Lemoine; Intent to comply with the Agreement – Placeholder legislation</b>	
<b>Maryland</b> 5,307,886 <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>HB 559 Directs the Comptroller to report back to the General Assembly by November 15, 2003 with the changes Maryland will need to make to comply with the Agreement and costs. Delegates Hixson, Bozman, Gordon, Healey, Howard, Marriott and Patterson</b>	<b>Hearing 2/27/03 House Ways &amp; Means Committee</b> <b>NCSL Presentation</b> <b>3/21/03 Passed House</b> <b>4/3/03 Passed Senate</b> <b>5/13 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>Massachusetts</b> 6,355,568 <i>(All year)</i>	<b>SB 1949– Legislation to join the Streamlined Sales Tax Implementing States</b> <b>Rep Travis</b>	<b>Passed both Houses 2/24/03</b> <b>Chap. 4 Laws of 2003</b>
<b>Michigan</b> 9,955,829 <i>(All year)</i>	Treasury Drafting legislation	



**STATE ACTION ON LEGISLATION TO COMPLY  
WITH THE STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX INTERSTATE AGREEMENT  
AS OF JULY 1, 2003**

<b>State/Population</b>	<b>Legislation / Sponsors</b>	<b>Status/ Hearings / Events</b>
<b>Minnesota</b> <b>4,925,670</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i> <i>(Special Session)</i>	<b>HF 1597 Reps. Abrams, Legislation to comply with Agreement</b> <b>SF 1505 Sen. Moua – Legislation to comply with Agreement</b>	<b>Minnesota enacted most of the Agreement in 2001/02 legislative session</b> <b>SF 1505 Passed Both Houses</b> <b>5/29 Signed by Governor-Chapter 127</b>
<b>Mississippi</b> <b>2,852,927</b> <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>S.2089 Join Streamlined Sales Tax Implementing States</b>	<b>Passed Both Houses</b> <b>3/8/03 ENACTED</b>
<b>Missouri</b> <b>5,606,260</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>S. 631 Senators Bray, Vogel, Goode</b> <b>Legislation brings Missouri into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>NCSL Briefing – April 8, 2003</b>
<b>Montana</b> <b>905,316</b> <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 470 Sen. Mangan –</b> <b>Establishes a sales tax system using the Streamlined Sales Tax Agreement –</b>	<b>4/1/03 SB470 Passed Senate 32-18</b> <b>Defeated in House 44-55</b>
<b>Nebraska</b> <b>1,715,369</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>LB 282 – Sen. Landis; Legislation brings Nebraska into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>January 24 – Approved by Revenue Committee</b> <b>5/5 Passed Senate</b> <b>5/6 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>Nevada</b> <b>2,002,032</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>AB 514 – Taxation Committee – Legislation brings Nevada into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>4/10-Reported from Committee</b> <b>4/22 Passed Assembly</b> <b>5/23 Passed Senate w/Amendment</b> <b>5/27 Assembly concurred</b> <b>6/9 Signed by Governor- Chap. 400</b>
<b>New Jersey</b> <b>8,424,354</b> <i>(All year)</i>	<b>Drafting legislation</b>	
<b>New Mexico</b> <b>1,823,821</b> <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>Legislation to become an Implementing States will be introduced in the Fall</b>	<b>NCSL Briefing – Blue Ribbon Tax Reform Commission – 5/23/03</b>



**STATE ACTION ON LEGISLATION TO COMPLY  
WITH THE STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX INTERSTATE AGREEMENT  
AS OF JULY 1, 2003**

<b>State/Population</b>	<b>Legislation / Sponsors</b>	<b>Status/ Hearings / Events</b>
<b>New York</b> <b>19,004,973</b>  <i>(All year)</i>	<b>S.2850 Senators Spano, Saland, Bruno, Johnson Legislation to join Streamlined Sales Tax Implementing States being drafted in</b>  <b>A. 2106 Legislative Budget Bill – Authorization for New York to join Implementing States – makes some simplifications to sales tax statutes, such as elimination of threshold on clothing</b>	<b>3/11/03 S.2850 Passed Senate</b>  <b>Enacted</b>
<b>North Carolina</b> <b>8,067,673</b>  <i>(Early July)</i>	<b>North Carolina enacted most of the Agreement during the 2001/2 legislative session HB397 Senate amendment adds compliance language</b>	<b>4/30 Senate amends HB 397 6/30 Conference Report Passes both Houses 6/30 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>North Dakota</b> <b>643,756</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>S. 2095/S.2096 – Legislation brings North Dakota into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>4/8/03 Enacted</b>
<b>Ohio</b> <b>11,374,540</b>  <i>(All Year)</i>	<b>Senate Amends House Budget Bill – HB95 – Adds language to bring Ohio into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>6/5 Senate amends HB95 6/19 Conference Committee Report passes both Houses 6/26 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>Oklahoma</b> <b>3,458,819</b>  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 708 Sen. Monson, HB 1712 Rep. Pope</b>	<b>4/3/03 – SB 708 Passed Both Houses 5/5 Conference Committee appointed 5/15 Conference Committee-Agreement on Floor 5/19 Passed Senate 5/21 Passed House 6/5 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>Oregon</b> <b>3,428,543</b>  <i>(Mid-July)</i>	<b>HB 3500 – House Revenue Committee HB 3608 – Rep. Hansen Establishes a sales tax system using the Streamlined Sales Tax Agreement – Legislation would bring Oregon into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>HB 3500 – Public Hearing 4/11/03</b>



**STATE ACTION ON LEGISLATION TO COMPLY  
WITH THE STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX INTERSTATE AGREEMENT  
AS OF JULY 1, 2003**

<b>State/Population</b>	<b>Legislation / Sponsors</b>	<b>Status/ Hearings / Events</b>
Pennsylvania 12,300,670  <i>(All Year)</i>	Drafting legislation Rep. Steil	NCSL Briefing for House & Senate Policy Committees – June 9, 2003
South Carolina 4,025,061 <i>(Adjourned)</i>	Drafting legislation	
<b>South Dakota</b> 756,874  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 76 State Affairs Committee at Request of Governor – brings South Dakota into full compliance with the Agreement</b> <b>South Dakota enacted most of the Agreement during the 2002 legislative session</b>	<b>2/6/03 Passed Senate</b> <b>2/26/03 Passed House</b> <b>3/6/03 Signed by Governor</b>
Tennessee 5,700,037  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 899 Sen. Clabough &amp; HB 832 Rep. Head – brings Tennessee into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>Hearing, House Finance, Ways &amp; Means Committee – February 25 – NCSL Briefing</b> <b>5/28 Reported from Senate and House Finance, Ways &amp; Means Committee</b> <b>5/29 Passed Both Houses</b> <b>6/16 Signed by Governor</b>
Texas 20,903,994  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 823 Sen. Fraser, Sen. VandePutte</b> <b>HB 3143 Rep. Wilson, Chair, House Ways &amp; Means Committee</b> <b>HB2425 Senate Amendment to House bill</b> <b>Legislation would bring Texas into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>5/15 SB 823 Passed Senate</b> <b>HB 3143 Committee Hearing – 4/9/03</b> <b>5/27 Senate amends HB2425</b>  <b>5/29 House concurs</b> <b>6/20 Signed by Governor</b>
Utah 2,236,714 <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 147 Sen. Hillyard; Legislation brings Utah into compliance with the Agreement</b>	<b>3/5/03 Passed both Houses</b> <b>3/24/03 Approved by Governor</b>
Vermont 609,890  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>HB 480 Legislation to bring Vermont into compliance with the Agreement</b> <b>Attached to an education finance bill.</b>	<b>5/14 Conference Committee</b> <b>5/28 Senate &amp; 5/29 House Adopt Conference Report</b> <b>6/18 Signed by Governor</b>



**STATE ACTION ON LEGISLATION TO COMPLY  
WITH THE STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX INTERSTATE AGREEMENT  
AS OF JULY 1, 2003**

<b>State/Population</b>	<b>Legislation / Sponsors</b>	<b>Status/ Hearings / Events</b>
<b>Virginia</b> 7,100,702  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>S.J.R 347 Sen. Hangar HJR Rep. Watts</b> Because of short session, resolutions express intention to comply with Agreement in 2004; creates a study commission to conform statutes	<b>Resolution Passed Both Houses</b>  <b>Legislature Adjourned</b>
<b>Washington</b> 5,908,684  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>SB 5783 Senators Finkbeiner &amp; Regala HB 1863 Reps. Gombosky, Cairnes, McIntire</b> Legislation would bring Washington into compliance with the Agreement, except for sourcing - 2004	<b>3/16/03 Passed Senate 4/24 Passed House w/Amendments 4/26 Senate Concurs 4/27 Sent to the Governor 5/12 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>West Virginia</b> 1,813,077  <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>HB. 3014 Speaker Kiss, Delegates Michael, Doyle, Anderson, G, White, H. White</b> Legislation brings West Virginia into compliance with the Agreement – Main Street Protection Act of 2003	<b>NCSL Briefing – Charleston January 28, 2003 – Passed Both House 3/8/03 To Governor 3/14/03 Signed by Governor</b>
<b>Wisconsin</b> 5,371,210 <i>(All Year)</i>	Drafting legislation Rep. Lehman, Sen. Panzer	
<b>Wyoming</b> 495,304 <i>(Adjourned)</i>	<b>Wyoming enacted most of the Agreement during the 2001 legislative session.</b>	<b>Legislature Adjourned</b>

Interstate Agreement Trigger: **10 states** representing at least 20 percent of the population of sales tax states or **54,887,181**.



**STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX AGREEMENT  
2003 STATE COMPLIANCE**

*As of 7/1/03*

State	Population	%	Cum%	United States Senators	United States House
<b>ENACTED COMPLIANCE LEGISLATION **</b>					
Arkansas	2,679,733			D - 2	R - 1 D - 3
Iowa	2,931,923			R - 1 D - 1	R - 4 D - 1
Indiana	6,090,782			R - 1 D - 1	R - 6 D - 3
Kansas	2,693,824			R - 2	R - 3 D - 1
Kentucky	4,049,431			R - 2	R - 5 D - 1
Minnesota	4,925,670			R - 1 D - 1	R - 4 D - 4
Nebraska	1,715,369			R - 1 D - 1	R - 3
Nevada	2,002,032			R - 1 D - 1	R - 2 D - 1
North Carolina	8,242,843			R - 1 D - 1	R - 7 D - 6
North Dakota	642,756			D - 2	D - 1
Ohio	11,374,540			R - 2	R - 12 D - 6
Oklahoma	3,458,819			R - 2	R - 4 D - 1
South Dakota	756,874			D - 2	R - 1
Tennessee	5,700,037			R - 2	R - 4 D - 5
Texas	20,903,994			R - 2	R - 15 D - 17
Utah	2,236,714			R - 2	R - 2 D - 1
Vermont	609,890			D - 1 I - 1	I - 1
Washington	5,908,684			D - 2	R - 3 D - 6
West Virginia	1,813,077			D - 2	R - 1 D - 2
Wyoming	493,304			R - 2	R - 1
<b>ENACTED TOTAL</b>	<b>89,230,296</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>31.7%</b>	<b>R - 22 D - 17 I - 1</b>	<b>R - 78 D - 58 I - 1</b>
				<b>40 Senators</b>	<b>137 Representatives</b>

**\*\* Legislation to comply with the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement was enacted in the states listed above. It is important to remember that the enactment of legislation is not the sole determinant of compliance to the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement. Administrative regulations as well as existing state statutes may also bring a state into compliance. The process to determine a state's compliance to the Agreement is contained in Articles VII and VIII of the Agreement.**



**STREAMLINED SALES AND USE TAX AGREEMENT  
2003 STATE COMPLIANCE**

*As of 7/1/03*

State	Population	%	Cum%	United States Senators	United States House
<b>PASSED ONE HOUSE</b>					
Illinois*	12,439,042			R - 1 D - 1	R - 10 D - 9
<b>Passed One House Total</b>	<b>12,439,042</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>R - 1 D - 1</b>	<b>R - 10 D - 9</b>
				<b>2 Senators</b>	<b>19 Representatives</b>
<b>PASSED ONE HOUSE ONLY – Legislature Adjourned for Year</b>					
Florida (SENATE)	16,028,890			D - 2	R - 18 D - 7
Montana (SENATE)	905,316			R - 1 D - 1	R - 1
<b>Passed One House Only</b>	<b>16,934,206</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>R - 3 D - 1</b>	<b>R - 19 D - 7</b>
				<b>4 Senators</b>	<b>26 Representatives</b>
<b>Full Compliance Legislation Introduced</b>					
Alabama	4,461,130			R - 2	R - 5 D - 2
Alaska	628,933			R - 2	R - 1
Idaho	1,297,274			R - 2	R - 2
Maine	1,277,731			R - 2	D - 2
Missouri	5,606,260			R - 2	R - 5 D - 4
Oregon	3,428,543			R - 1 D - 1	R - 1 D - 4
<b>Legislation Intro Total</b>	<b>16,699,871</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>R - 11 D - 1</b>	<b>R - 14 D - 12</b>
				<b>12 Senators</b>	<b>26 Representatives</b>
<b>Total for 29 States</b>	<b>135,139,572</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>58 Senators</b>	<b>208 Representatives</b>

- Illinois legislation has passed in both the House and Senate, however, the legislation appears to be placeholders. It is expected that compliance language will be added during the conference committee process. Legislation is still active until December 31, 2003.
- Population figures used are the numbers for the Congressional Apportionment based upon the 2000 Census. These are the figures used to determine each state's congressional size.
- For purposes of calculating percentage of population, the amount used is the total population and not just the population of states with a sales tax.