



Joint Commission on Transportation Accountability

Overweight Vehicle Studies Update

November 30, 2010

**Brian Diefenderfer, PhD, PE, Research Scientist
Audrey K. Moruza, Research Scientist
Virginia Center for Transportation Innovation and Research**

Engineering Principles

- **Impact of overweight vehicles is different for pavements and bridges**
- **Pavements**
 - Load on each axle is important
 - **Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESALs) allow for comparison of pavement damage between different vehicles (AASHTO)**
 - **Pavement is designed for lifetime ESALs, each vehicle consumes some portion**
- **Bridges**
 - **Total load, load on each axle, and axle spacing are important**
 - **Significant damage does not occur until load exceeds design standards, but fatigue damage occurs with each pass**

Cost Analysis Methodology

- Can estimate the added maintenance cost from a single overweight trip or for blanket permits
 - Blankets based on assumed mileage
- Accounts for miles that trucks travel at less than full capacity in blanket permit fees; assumes trucks are full in single trip permit fees
- Could be used to establish fees for any vehicle (not just overweight vehicles)

Cost Analysis Methodology (Pavements)

- 2007 VDOT load-related Maintenance budget was allocated to vehicle classes according to damage (ESALs) imposed on pavements
 - Vehicle classes were defined by FHWA categories based on vehicle type, axle number, axle spacing
 - Weigh-in-motion (WIM) data from Virginia highways was analyzed to determine pavement damage (ESALs) caused by each vehicle class on average
 - ESALs based on axle weights of all vehicles crossing WIM sensors
- Cost per ESAL-mile traveled:

$$\frac{\text{Load-related Maintenance budget}}{\sum(\text{total vehicle ESALs} \times \text{VMT})}$$

Cost Analysis Methodology (Bridges)

- **Damage functions for bridges are much more complicated than for pavements**
 - Each bridge would have to be analyzed individually for each overweight vehicle because of differences in design
- **Flat fee approach could be reasonable option**
 - Flat fee per axle is common on tolled structures nationwide

Findings

- **Permit fees for overweight vehicles can be estimated that relate axle weight and configuration to pavement damage using ESAL concept (AASHTO)**
- **For pavements, the fee was estimated at 3.56 cents per ESAL-mile**
 - Based on 2006-2007 Virginia WIM and VDOT data
 - Could be updated periodically to account for changes in travel, haul weights and vehicle configuration
- **For bridges, a flat fee could be assessed based on recovering a certain proportion of weight-related maintenance costs**
 - Difficult to determine since each span of each structure would need to be analyzed independently for each load

Applying the Method: Example Fees

Truck	Legal load (000 lbs)	Requested Overweight Load (000 lbs)	Assumed Distance (mi)	Existing Permit Fee	Potential Permit Fee (pavement only)
4-axle concrete truck	58	70	10,000	\$0 (annual)	\$157 (B*)
6-axle tractor trailer	80	100	50,000	\$140 (annual)	\$1800 (B*)
7-axle tractor trailer	80	132	335	\$45.50	\$50 (ST*)
9-axle tractor trailer	80	168	335	\$51	\$63 (ST*)

* B - blanket permit, ST- single trip permit

Overweight Permit Fee Administration

- **Pavement damage fees**
 - **Truck configuration and axle weights are reported in permit applications now**
 - ESALs can be readily calculated from this
 - **Estimated mileage would need to be reported to DMV**
- **Bridge damage fees**
 - **VDOT Structure and Bridge collects relevant data regarding overweight vehicles to assess the potential damage to bridges**
 - **Flat fees could be used for blanket permits & single trips**
- **Implementation details need further development**

Issues Related to Overweight Permit Fee Structure

- **Should fees be based on pavement impacts, bridge impacts, or both?**
- **Fee is consistent with damage imposed but some fees are infeasibly large**
 - **Could establish brackets, flatten schedule**
 - **Tradeoff between damage-based fees and simplicity**
- **Whether to keep current exemptions in place**
- **Changes in trucking operations should be expected**
 - **Revenue projections difficult**
 - **Potential for less-damaging truck configurations**

Concluding Remarks

- A rational method is available for developing a damage (ESAL)-based fee schedule for pavements
- A reasonable approach for bridges would be a flat fee
- ESAL-related fees using the VTRC methodology as *a foundation* would provide incentives for axle configurations that are less damaging to pavements