| | SENATE BILL NO HOUSE BILL NO |
|----|--|
| 1 | A BILL to amend the Code of Virginia by adding in Title 3.2 a chapter numbered 3.1, consisting of |
| 2 | sections numbered 3.2-303 and 3.2-304, relating to agriculture; resource management plans. |
| 3 | Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia: |
| 4 | 1. That the Code of Virginia is amended by adding in Title 3.2 a chapter numbered 3.1, consisting |
| 5 | of sections numbered 3.2-303 and 3.2-304, as follows: |
| 6 | CHAPTER 3.1. |
| 7 | RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLANS. |
| 8 | § 3.2-303. Resource management plans; effect of implementation; exclusions. |
| 9 | A. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, agricultural landowners who implement and |
| 10 | maintain a resource management plan in accordance with § 3.2-304 shall be deemed to be in full |
| 11 | compliance with applicable state water quality requirements including, but not limited to, the State |
| 12 | Water Control Law (§ 62.1-44.2 et seq.) and any regulations adopted thereunder. |
| 13 | B. The presumption and immunity provided in subsection A shall not extend to those operations |
| 14 | (i) required to obtain a Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit, (ii) required to obtain a |
| 15 | Virginia Pollution Abatement Permit, and (iii) otherwise required by law or regulation to implement a |
| 16 | resource management or nutrient management plan. |
| 17 | § 3.2-304. Resource management plans; criteria. |
| 18 | The Board shall, by regulation and with the assistance of the Department of Conservation and |
| 19 | Recreation, determine the criteria necessary for the development of and inclusion in a resource |
| 20 | management plan for such plan to provide for the presumption and immunity set forth in § 3.2-303. The |
| 21 | regulations shall be both technically achievable and economically feasible. The regulations shall: |
| 22 | 1. For all cropland or specialty crops, include the following components as needed and based |
| 23 | upon an individual on-farm assessment to determine which practices will result in appropriate nutrient |
| 24 | and sediment reductions: |

| a. A nutrient management plan that meets the nutrient management specifications developed by |
|---|
| the Department of Conservation and Recreation; |
| b. A forest or grass buffer of appropriate width to sufficiently limit sedimentation and nutrient |
| pollution between cropland and between and perennial streams; |
| c. A soil conservation plan that achieves a maximum soil loss rate of "T," as defined by the |
| Natural Resources Conservation Service; |
| d. Cover crops meeting best management practice specifications developed by the Department of |
| Conservation and Recreation and planted after all summer annual crops such as corn, cotton, vegetables, |
| and tobacco if such summer annual crops received at least 50 pounds per acre of nitrogen; |
| e. An assessment of all best management practices currently in place, whether as part of a cost- |
| share program or through voluntary implementation, to determine adequacy in meeting nutrient and |
| sediment reduction objectives; |
| f. Such other best management practices as may be developed and adopted by the Board; and |
| g. An implementation schedule and plan. |
| 2. For all hayland, include the following components as needed and based upon an individual on- |
| farm assessment to determine which practices will result in needed nutrient and sediment reductions: |
| a. A nutrient management plan that meets the nutrient management specifications developed by |
| the Department of Conservation and Recreation; |
| b. A forest or grass buffer of appropriate width to sufficiently limit sedimentation and nutrient |
| pollution between hayland and between and perennial streams; |
| c. A soil conservation plan that achieves a maximum soil loss rate of "T," as defined by the |
| Natural Resources Conservation Service; |
| d. An assessment of all best management practices currently in place, whether as part of a cost- |
| share program or through voluntary implementation, to determine adequacy in meeting nutrient and |
| sediment reduction objectives; |
| e. Such other best management practices as may be developed and adopted by the Board; and |
| f. An implementation schedule and plan. |

| 3. For all pasture, include the following components as needed and based upon an individual on- |
|---|
| farm assessment to determine which practices will result in needed nutrient and sediment reductions: |
| a. A nutrient management plan that meets the nutrient management specifications developed by |
| the Department of Conservation and Recreation, if the pasture received any application of mechanically |
| applied manure, poultry litter, or biosolids within the past three years or will receive such applications in |
| the future; |
| b. A pasture management plan or soil conservation plan that achieves a maximum soil loss rate |
| of "T," as defined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service; |
| c. An assessment of all best management practices currently in place, whether as part of a cost- |
| share program or through voluntary implementation, to determine adequacy in meeting nutrient and |
| sediment reduction objectives; |
| d. Such other best management practices as may be developed and adopted by the Board; and |
| e. An implementation schedule and plan. |
| # |
| |